

Coded Ref	Code	Section of Plan commented on (ref)	Comment	Response to comment	Support Y/N	Additional comments and action taken
REG1449	1.3	Conservation	rural surroundings deserve more attention - unique landscape which crosses boundaries of land use - field patterns. SSSI -unique culm grassland, ancient woodland, footpath networks, river valley, Roman fort - worth shouting about	Agree: Will add Culm Grassland on page 8. But SSSI, ancient woodland, footpaths, river valley and Roman fort are all mentioned already		Added wording to introduction
REG1421	2.1	Vision Statement	Vision statement should be strengthened & be more aspirational. Suggest adopting working groups to address/continue the themes of the plan and to progress aspirations i.e. parking, economic development, retail & general environment	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan is a planning document which we hope will inspire community involvement in aspects which cannot be dealt with through the plan process, such aspects are also included in the list in CO3 for community enhancement. Additional comment to be added in monitoring and review section	Y	Comment added to Section 4 Monitoring & Review
REG1449	2.2	Aims	Include an aim to have better influence on West Devon Planning	The Neighbourhood Plan must conform to the WD JLP & National Planning Policy; but the plan aims to be aspirational and forward thinking in its objectives and policies		Once adopted the NP will influence the planning authority's decisions

REG1411	CH	1. CONSERVING	Would like local communal green spaces to be included in new developments and a positive increase in biodiversity and wildlife in developments	Agree & Noted for additional inclusion in HO1 in accordance with the NPPF which states that a new development should not have a detrimental effect on biodiversity or wildlife, to strengthen this policy		Added to HO1 which is now HO2 in the ammended plan
REG1453	CH	CH Objectives	Terminology needs to reflect NPPF - protect is not featured within NPPF.	Agreed remove 'protected' from objective 2	Y	changed wording to protected and conserved.
REG1424	CH	CH Appendix 1	Please ensure that publicly lit areas are kept to a minimum with lights being directed downwards to avoid this serious form of pollution.	Noted - Please see Town Design Statement	Y	
REG1403	CH1	CH1	Allotment space (500sqm) - LGS designation (map section 6) included within planning application - the actual location is purely indicative at this stage, however not in dispute with Neighbourhood Plan LGS allocation.	Noted	Y	
REG1407	CH1	1. CONSERVING	Where are the Bathway Allotments?	Noted: Please refer to sub-committee of NT TC the Section 106 monitoring group	Y	
REG1411	CH1	1. CONSERVING	Ref: Objective 1 CONSERVING NATURAL & HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT - Local green space development, will lead to infill and change the boundary, changing the rural setting of the town	Noted	Y	

REG1411	CH1	1. CONSERVING	Desire for the whole of the depot site to be designated as a local green space and not just part of the site, as it was felt natural green space is lacking within the town	Not agree: NT is fortunate to have a large area of green space (both natural and managed) within the Neighbourhood Plan area. Please also see the call for sites section (theme 4) within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site		
REG1418	CH1	CH1 LGS	Pleased with protection of existing green spaces. Where is green space in the new housing developments?	Noted for additional inclusion in HO1 for communal green spaces in new developments (Please also see Town Design Statement) and that in new developments green space is designated as such and therefore protected from future development	Y	Added to HO1 which is now HO2 in the ammended plan
REG1451	CH1	Conserving CH	The Depot - development will contravene LGS objective (however refers to this space as dog walking area). Concern that would extend town boundary - danger of infill.	Not agree: NT is fortunate to have a large area of green space (both natural and managed) within the Neighbourhood Plan area. Please also see the call for sites section (theme 4) within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site		
REG1452	CH1	CH1 Butts Field	Butts Field - no recreational or leisure purposes since 1997, no public access	Noted - Although the Neighbourhood Plan does not make reference to the site as recreational space		

REG1453	CH1	CH1	LGS requires further evidence to justify designation	Noted - Action to provide further evidence through an assessment against WD LGS criteria	Further assessment completed of LGS using WD and NPPF criteria - added as appendix CH5 to the plan
REG1452	CH1		Not been demonstrated that Butts field meets the criteria for LGS set out in the NPPF - reasons in Appendix 3 lacks detail, are largely generic and do not demonstrate particular local significance. Response outlines in detail why this LGS designation doesn't comply with criteria - Plan doesn't offer justification or evidence so should not be identified as a Local Green Space.	Disagree: The area of the Butts field is in accordance with Neighbourhood PlanPF criterion of LGS. (1.) The green space is in close proximity to the community (2.) 91% of respondents to the LGS consultation agreed to the designation of Butts field due to its beauty, historic use and tranquillity (3). The green space is local in character being of an agricultural use, reflective of the local vernacular and is not an extensive tract of land	Further assessment of LGS has been undertaken using NPPF and WD criteria (appendix CH5. Butts field remains a designated LGS
REG1452	CH1	Settlement Boundary 1.6b	Objects to exclusion of Butts Field from within the Settlement Boundary. Boundary should be amended to include site at southwest of North Tawton (see EP1 Appendix in Emery response)	Disagree: We do not feel it is appropriate to extend the settlement boundary to include the Butts Field as it does not possess the vehicular access required for development. Direct access could only be achieved through the demolition of the Fire Station a vital local asset and employer. While also there is no robust evidence that this site is needed for housing development	

REG1444	CH2	CH2	Pleased to see that an understanding of the area's local distinctiveness has informed protection of views.	Noted	
REG1449	CH2	CH2	suggests view from Wildridge Lane	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan has tried to protect amenity views within CH3, however this particular view was not highlighted as part of the previous consultation process by members of the community	
REG1452	CH2	CH2	There is no indication that Policy CH2 is necessary as design guidance is addressed through existing development plan policies and should be deleted	Disagree: Residents feel this is an important issue and wish to see it included in the plan, we are pleased that Wainhomes is in agreement that our policy is in accordance with the development plan.	
REG1453	CH2	CH2	raised point about stifling innovation and potential cost of specifying use of local materials	Agreed in relation to cost of materials - change wording 'focus' for 'preference'	Wording changed in CH2
REG1453	CH2		Conservation area appraisal of 1996 requires updating	Agreed add sentence on p 22 - at end of 2nd paragraph after 'Town Design Statement of 2004 <i>and will be reviewed and updated by the appropriate authority in the near future.</i> '	Sentence added

REG1411	CH3	1. CONSERVING	The development of the depot site will affect the amenity view of that area from Bouchers Hill	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan has tried to protect amenity views within CH3, however this particular view was not highlighted as part of the previous consultation process by members of the community		
REG1418	CH3	CH3	Impact of Batheway fields (phase 1) on landscape	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan can only influence future developments. The Neighbourhood Plan has tried to protect amenity views within CH3 as suggested by the community through the consultation process	Y	
REG1451	CH3	CH3	Depot part of the Amenity view from Bouchers Hill therefore development shouldn't be supported.	Not agree: The depot does not form part of this amenity view		
REG1452	CH3	CH3	Policy not supported by robust or proportionate technical evidence - only subjective assessment of respondents to questionnaire. No justification for views from Butts Way are vital in maintaining town's rural setting. Policy should be deleted.	Disagree: Local amenity views and landscape character can only be based on a local evidence base which will be subjective and must be reflective of what is important to the local community.		
REG1449	CO	Community	importance of Fire Station	Noted: Add to employment (16 employed) and page 35		Added to list of employers in Economy section

REG1432	CO	2.COMMUNITY	<p>Policy CO states assets of community value will be protected from loss. Depot site is included in the list and is registered as an asset of community value. It should be withdrawn from consideration for housing development. In our view housing on this site would not qualify as sustainable under the governments criteria in the Neighbourhood PlanPF (para 9) as it would result in net loss for wildlife.</p>	<p>Assessment of each site listed within the Call for Sites process has been carried out using an objective assessment matrix. As a result of this process this site has been included as an allocated site within the plan.</p>	N	<p>Assessment matrix added as an appendix to the plan</p>
REG1453	CO	CO Objective	<p>suggests removing word 'provide' in objective</p>	<p>Agreed - replace 'provide' with 'to foster a community in which individuals and families can thrive, where facilities and services are maintained, supported and enhanced'.</p>		<p>Objective wording changed</p>
REG1403	CO1	CO1	<p>Asset of Community Value (ACV) - wording of draft policy CO1 - criteria - amend to require at least one of the criteria rather than all 3 in every circumstance - replace or with and/or at the end of each criterion. Depot scheme would fail against criteria 1 and 2.</p>	<p>Address wording and criteria</p>	Y	<p>Changes made to criteria and wording in CO1</p>

REG1429	CO1	CO1	Welcomes policy. Urges better collaboration between facilities to ensure maintenance of services e.g. combining shops and small businesses in same premises; joint working between sports clubs and churches. Joint bids for grant funding always more successful.	Noted, ensure that CO1 does not hinder this kind of collaboration	Y	
REG1440	CO1	2. COMMUNIT	Important to preserve existing facilities while looking at future expansion	Agreed	Y	
REG1451	CO1	CO1	Depot should be protected from loss	Please also see the call for sites section within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site		
REG1453	CO1	CO1	recommending additional wording to acknowledge permitted development rules	Agreed - We need to add permitted development. We keep one policy as loss of facilities and then another policy to make it clear that permitted development refers to change of use in classes A & D		Following further discussion with WD NP officer no changes made to this policy.
REG1411	CO1/E1	2. COMMUNIT	Rate reductions for new businesses to help them establish. 12 months marketing too short - 24 months better (rate reduction mentioned again)	Noted: However business rates are controlled by WDBC and cannot be influenced by the Neighbourhood Plan process. Not agree: The Neighbourhood Plan were advised that 12 months is acceptable	Y	

REG1434	CO2	CO2	What happened to the plan to level the football pitch in the park?	Noted: 106 funding available and plans are to proceed as soon as possible	Y	Work is underway on the Memorial Park
REG1407	CO3	CO	Enforcement for parking.	Concerns noted however parking enforcement cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group and DCC parking enforcement	Y	
REG1409	CO3	2. COMMUNIT	Emphasise inclusion of "green space"& play areas in ALL new developments	Noted for additional inclusion in HO1 (Please see design statement)	Y	Included within HO1, which is now HO2 in the ammended plan
REG1411	CO3	2. COMMUNIT	Traffic & Transport plan vital	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. Requirements for traffic and transport plans will be essential in order for planning permission to be granted and are linked to specific developments. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group and Devon Highways	Y	

REG1446	CO3	CO3	use of term '106 agreements'	Section 106 definition is set out on page 33 of the Neighbourhood Plan for reference.	Y	
REG1453	CO3	CO3	CIL unlikely to be adopted in near future. S106 cannot be used for historic funding shortfalls for facilities with no relationship with proposal site	Agreed Put 'proposers of development.....of the local community' at the start of the policy. Change to 'should contribute towards local priority projects that help mitigate the impacts of a development. Examples of priority projects for the plan area are: replace purchase of the football club and flood lighting with - enhance the sport and recreational facilities within the town; continued development and upgrading of the Memorial Park; creation of new allotments;		Changes made to this policy following further discussion with WD NP officer.
REG1408	E	3. ECONOMY	Retail - People "head out of town" (to shop) means the closure of local shops due to location of new developments isolating them from the town centre	Noted: Please see CO1 & E4 which supports the protection of local shops and E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments and E5 transport and parking to improve parking provision and access to local facilities	Y	
REG1430	E	3. ECONOMY	Encourage new businesses into local shops	Noted Neighbourhood Plan has addressed this in E4	Y	

REG1435	E		3 The eyesore of the Woollen Mill should be developed. If policy is for only smaller developments (no more than 12 dwellings) is there scope for gradual redevelopment of the site in smaller parcels.	Assessment of each site listed within the Call for Sites process has been carried out using an objective assessment matrix. As a result this site has been allocated within the plan.	Y	Assessment matrix added as an appendix to the plan. Sites allocated within HO1 includes the Woollen Mill.
REG1445	E	Economy	Would like to see evidence that Gregory and Taw Valley are a significant feature within the local economy. Doesn't believe they employ many local (NT) residents. Provides a false picture of employment in the town which could be used as reason for more development - requires substantiating.	Not Agreed: Local large industry supports the local economy not just through direct employment but supports other services used by businesses and the local agricultural holdings.	Y	
REG1446	E	Economy	Questions regular bus service to and from Okehampton	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan process cannot influence public transport provision		
REG1448	E	Economy	Future of shops - Butchers - growing population. Demolish old Pharmacy (Exeter St) & replace with car park	Neighbourhood Plan seeks to encourage new employment opportunities through Economy policies and retain the retail opportunity through CO1. The Neighbourhood Plan does not have influence over existing privately owned properties or their future.		

REG1449	E	Conservation & Economy	Farmers don't get a mention - important part of community - economic, visual and structural impacts - suggests link to www.devon.gov.uk/dca-33highTawfarmland .	Strengthen reference to the agricultural holdings and farms within the economy section and on page 8 with "North Tawton is a largely rural parish - 2,400 hectares of predominantly actively managed farmland.	Didn't include the link as website may change during the life of the plan. Wording changed in the introduction.
REG1449	E	Economy	Visual impact of major industries underplayed in plan. Better liaison with major industries - regular meetings to explore mutual opportunities. Importance and contribution of Vet Surgery needs more acknowledgment	Visual impact of major industries underplayed - Noted. Better liaison - noted. Vets listed under Economy on page 35. Liaison with businesses falls outside the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan - can not give more weight to one business over another.	
REG1453	E	E Objective	change providing and suggested facilitate	Agreed Objective 4 change provide to facilitate	Changes made to this objective
REG1453	E	Economy	Introduction - add "homeworking"	Add: Developments would be supported where they have evidenced that they have made provision within homes for home working including internal space, availability of power points and telephone points to allow for flexible working arrangements.	Added new Home Working Policy to Economy - E3

REG1407	E1	3.ECONOMY	Jobs for local people are needed in North Tawton to sustain housing provision. Enforcement for industrial land provision at Batheway & Woollen Mill and local employment is needed to reduce the work commute.	Noted: Policies E1 & E2 address this within the Neighbourhood Plan	Y	
REG1411	E1	3.ECONOMY	Illegal parking in town square	Concerns noted however parking enforcement cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group and DCC parking enforcement	Y	
REG1411	E1	3.ECONOMY	12 months marketing too short - 24 months better (rate reduction mentioned again)	Not agree: The Neighbourhood Plan group were advised that 12 months is acceptable standard practice.	Y	
REG1418	E1	E1	Please support Woollen Mill project aims - could use Denbrook money for this.	Noted	Y	Woollen Mill project no longer in existence. Woollen Mill is an allocated site in HO1
REG1429	E1	E1 & HO1	Woollen mill development should be a priority ahead of any green field development.	Agreed - refer to HO1 & E1	Y	The Woollen Mill is an allocated site in HO1
REG1433	E1	E1	Employment opportunities are insufficient to provide work for new residents.	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan seeks to encourage new employment opportunities through Economy policies	?	

REG1434	E1	E1/E2/E3	Plan for small industrial units on Wainhomes site should be revived. More sites needed for small business units.	Employment Land at Batheway is currently on the open market. Neighbourhood Plan seeks to encourage new employment opportunities through Economy policies	Y	This remains an employment site in policy E1
REG1453	E1	E1	change to planning permission references rather than SHLAA reference	Agreed - change references		References changed
REG1409	E2	3.ECONOMY	If government policy no longer supports mixed use sites then this plan policy should be reviewed, perhaps altered or abandoned	Review policy and evidence base	Y	Policy E2 has been removed
REG1427	E2	3.ECONOMY	Would like to see Wool Mill restored	Noted support for the Woollen Mill in Housing theme p 47, Economy E1 & on p39 in Economy.		The Woollen Mill is an allocated site in HO1
REG1428	E2	3.ECONOMY	Would like to see Wool Mill restored	Noted support for the Woollen Mill in Housing theme p 47, Economy E1 & on p39 in Economy.		The woollen Mill is an allocated site in HO1
REG1453	E2	E2	careful consideration is advised before proceeding with this policy	Not agree - We are only asking for developers to consider the opportunities for mixed use.		
REG1453	E3	E3	Would an office in a spare bedroom be deemed as a live-work unit?	Noted: The intention of the policy was to provide support for live work units which would be registered for business use/business rates and not solely for office use of space/rooms within a property.		Added new Home Working Policy to Economy - E3

REG1402	E4	E/CO	Need more shops, community run store in empty premises e.g. greengrocer / hardware	Noted: Please see CO1 & E4 which supports the protection of local shops	Y	
REG1425	E4	3. ECONOMY	Lack of parking causing respondent to shop out of town. This leads to closure of retail businesses such as butcher	Noted: Please see CO1 & E4 which supports the protection of local shops and E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments and E5 transport and parking to improve parking provision and access to local facilities		
REG1442	E4	3.ECONOMY	To alleviate parking problem in square move the hub of North Tawton; build small shopping mall with parking. Land designated by Batheway for Medical Centre would be ideal	Noted	Y	
REG1449	E4	Economy E4	filling shops (old Pharmacy) whilst unoccupied - short term leases	Please see CO1 & E4 which supports the protection of local shops. However the Neighbourhood Plan does not have influence over existing privately owned properties or their tenure		

REG1420	E5	3.ECONOMY	Secure public transport links - buses to Bow Medical Centre. Lack of parking/Town Square - enforcement of parking regulations	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan process cannot influence public transport provision. Concerns noted; however parking enforcement cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group and DCC parking enforcement	Y	
REG1429	E5	E5	Support policy that all new housing must have off street parking provision. Older parts of town need more parking, requiring some demolition of properties. Will be pursuing with Exeter Diocese re: future of rectory site.	Noted, refer to the NTTC Transport & Parking working group	Y	
REG1434	E5	IN3 E5	Every effort should be made to address parking. Additional parking could be achieved at entrance to the park.	Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group	Y	

REG1442	E5	3.ECONOMY	Questionnaire response statistics might be different when trial Okehampton - Exeter train service starts, more people would likely use service for work, rather than social reasons as indicated by survey results	Noted	Y	
REG1428	E5 / E6	3. ECONOMY	There is a contradiction over transport & parking policy within the plan,E5 Wants to see more robust reference regarding potential loss of street parking	Agreed this could be seen as a contradiction so remove sentence on page 7 'these include issues such as transport.....the Plan'. Where appropriate transport issues relating to development are addressed within the relevant policies. Other transport issues will be referred to the transport and parking working group.		Changes made to the introduction
REG1405	E7	3. ECONOMY	Junction to Batheway Estate needs re-thinking, 3 accidents already and pedestrian access to town upgraded	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath, junction and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted; however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process.		This issue is being addressed by the NTTC transport & parking working group.

REG1407	E7	3.ECONOMY	Walking route vital pavements too small	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath, junction and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process.	Y	
REG1433	E7	E7 IN4	Chaotic traffic and parking. Mini roundabout at Moor view is a hazard and speed limit signs inappropriately located.	Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group.	?	
REG1434	E7	E7 IN4	Street light needed between Strawberry Field and Wainhomes site. It is difficult to see pedestrians on the narrow pavement.	Noted: Town Council currently in negotiation with Devon Highways	Y	
REG1434	E7	E7	30mph sign in the wrong place (entrance to town from de Bathe Cross); should be 100m nearer to de Bathe cross.	Noted: Town Council currently in negotiation with Devon Highways	Y	

REG1401	EE	EE	Lack of renewable energy development	Policies EE1 to EE4 encourages sustainable and appropriate renewable energy development within the area	Y	Policy EE1 has now been removed as is a strategic policy. EE4 wording has been changed.
REG1418	EE	1.3 'North Devon Biosphere' 2.2 EE	Any examples of best practice in other communities?	Noted: For more information on community energy schemes in Devon please contact DARE (Devon Association for Renewable Energy) www.devondare.org	Y	
REG1442	EE1	6.ENERGY & EM	Should be obligatory for new houses to have solar hot water: hot water can easily be stored, unlike photovoltaic. Water heating has major impact on household budgets and would be particularly important for social housing	Noted: The plan specifies "solar" it does not denote solar thermal or photovoltaics allowing for the installation of either technologies, this is also to reflect the responses to the community questionnaire where the response was for solar panels	Y	
REG1452	EE1	EE1	Neighbourhood Plan cannot require additional technical standards above adopted local plan therefore this policy should be deleted.	Disagree: The comment from WDBC was "A laudable aspiration, and accords with emerging JLP position that will shortly be tested in examination"		Policy EE1 has now been removed as is a strategic policy.

REG1453	EE1	EE1	<p>A laudable aspiration, and accords with emerging JLP position that will shortly be tested in examination. Consideration again needs to be given to viability, and the plan should not be too prescriptive in terms of PV: significant carbon savings can be achieved through the use of ground and air source heat pumps</p>	<p>Noted - The inclusion of "rooftop solar panels" is not prescriptive in terms of which solar technology (neither PV or thermal has been specified) and solar was included due to the community questionnaire response noting an interest in solar for new developments. We would of course welcome all low carbon technologies if they were in accordance with the other policies and provided a 20% reduction.</p>		<p>This policy has now been removed on the advice of the WD NP officer as it's strategic rather than a local NP issue</p>
REG1453	EE2	EE2	<p>Pleased to see this policy included: well written and justified. Requirement iv) could be applied to all development rather than just energy proposals.</p>	<p>Agree</p>		

REG1453	EE3	EE3	A good positive policy, but it needs to clearly differentiate between wind and other technologies – Government policy from June 2016 requires new wind turbines to be delivered on allocated sites only, either in Local Plans or neighbourhood plans.	Agree - Caveat added that no wind power sites were identified through the Neighbourhood Plan process or any desire for such installations through the community consultation, therefore no further wind power developments will take place within the Neighbourhood Plan area due to national planning policy. Although this could be reviewed in the future should a potential wind site come forward to be considered.		
REG1411	EE4	6.ENERGY & EN	Completely support & also small scale renewable energy projects	Agree	Y	
REG1452	EE4	EE4	Neighbourhood Plan cannot require additional technical standards above adopted local plan therefore this policy should be deleted.	This is to be changed to low/zero-carbon housing (including Passivhaus standards) where the dwellings low/zero carbon credentials can be evidenced and assessed by an independent organisation.		Changes made to Policy

			<p>The merits of passivhaus are understood, but it is unrealistic to require all new housing to be built to this standard and expect schemes to be viable. Passivhaus is also a very specific type of build technology, and to insist on this could prevent the delivery of near zero-carbon homes, that far exceed current building regulations, from being delivered. Would local supply chains or trades be able to support this requirement? Would the local community support it if it meant that local materials were not used or out-of-area companies employed to deliver such a standard?</p>	<p>Do we change this to low/zero-carbon housing (including Passivhaus standards) where the dwellings low/zero carbon credentials can be evidenced and assessed by an independent organisation.</p>		
REG1453	EE4	EE4			Yes	changes made to wording of policy
REG1402	GEN	Recycling	<p>more recycling facilities, especially plastics</p>	<p>Noted: WDBC curb side waste collection accepts some plastics. In addition to this an award winning community plastic recycling scheme runs on the 3rd Saturday of each month in the NT town centre car park. Waste collection is not within the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan but is dealt with by the local authority.</p>	Y	
REG1403	GEN	General	<p>Land owned by Peninsula properties (Depot) subject to two planning application.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	Y	

REG1406	GEN	General	Support the draft plan	Noted	Y	
REG1413	GEN	General	Welcome emerging plan	Noted	Y	
REG1414	GEN	General	Support the draft plan	Agree	Y	
REG1415	GEN	General	Support the draft plan	Agree	Y	
REG1416	GEN	General	Parking issues	Noted	N/A	
REG1417	GEN	General	Support the plan	Agree	Y	
			There is a need for a level of housing development in order to maintain services and facilities. However we must have a preference for previously developed sites and a protection for high grade agricultural land as expressed in the plan			
REG1423	Gen			Noted	Y	
REG1426	GEN		N/A form returned unavailable	Noted	N/A	
REG1437	Gen	General	Support the draft plan	Noted	Y	
REG1441	Gen	General	Support the draft plan	Noted	Y	
REG1447	Gen	General	fully supports the plan	Noted	Y	
			A big thank you to the Neighbourhood Plan for the hours of work involved in providing such a comprehensive survey			
REG1448	Gen	general		Noted	Y	
REG1448	Gen		Car park on medical centre land	Currently this land is on the open market .		
			Congratulations on a very thorough document, covers most bases, attractively laid out, relatively easy to read			
REG1449	Gen	General		Agree	Y	

REG1449	Gen	general	Would have liked to see list of hard objectives and targets by a specified date - a bullet list of target achievements in an executive summary would enthuse readers	Unfortunately we are unable to create a timetable of hard objectives when development within the parish is subject to many outside influences including the wider economy		
REG1450	Gen	general	supports the plan	Noted	Y	
REG1451	Gen	general	partial support, some opposition	Noted	Partial	
REG1452	Gen	general	Number of fundamental concerns with the draft Plan. Restrictive designations and policies without underlying evidential basis, is in direct conflict with strategic policies of the development plan.	Disagree: We have used a robust evidence base both from the local community response to consultation and national policy. The plan has been written to be in accordance with the NPPF, draft emerging JLP & WDBC Local Plan. We have not been advised by WDBC that we are not in conformity with the development plan	N	
REG1439	GEN	General	Congratulations on engaging with the Neighbourhood Plan process	Noted	N/A	
REG1444	GEN	general	congratulates the community on its progress to date and wish it well in the making of its Plan.	Noted	Y	
REG1446	H	Housing	Depot site - 75% respondents against its development - should remain as it is.	Please see the call for sites section (theme 4) within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site as a community asset.		

REG1448	H	Housing	purchase land at back of fire station and Butts field for development - proximity to Gostwyke Close, town car park and shops.	The land at "the Butts" within the Neighbourhood Plan is designated as Local Green Space and is outside of the settlement boundary		
REG1451	H10	Housing - Obj	Depot development extends settlement boundary - cites WD strategic planning response to the planning application	Agree: This site is outside of the WDBC settlement boundary, it was included in Neighbourhood Plan settlement boundary due to an outstanding planning application on the site		
REG1401	HO	HO	Lack of low cost and rentable accommodation (affordable is meaningless term) Building on farmland when food is imported.	Please see definition of "Affordable Housing" in JLP for more information. The last housing need survey was carried out in 2014 and showed the need was adequately met at that time. The Housing Needs Survey will be repeated in the next 2 years. High grade agricultural land is protected within the Neighbourhood Plan and priority for development is given to brownfield or previously developed sites. Ref: HO1	Y	Updated information regarding housing need within North Tawton was provided by Alex Rehaag (WDBC) from Devon Home Choice in July 2018. The Affordable Housing section for Policy HO3 has been updated to reflect this.

REG1402	HO	HO/IN	Need safe and accessible footpath from Batheway to town. Speed - De Bathe Cross to town.	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process.	Y	
REG1403	HO	Housing - Objectives 8 & 9	8 Support - proposed Depot scheme meets this objective	Noted	Y	
REG1403	HO	Housing - Objectives 8 & 9	9 Support - Depot scheme supports this objective	Noted	Y	
REG1403	HO	Housing - Call for Sites process	Supports conclusions on page 48 'should the bid....and the community' and reiterates that their traffic report concludes access and traffic issues can be overcome	Noted	Y	This section has now been changed following discussion with WDBC
REG1408	HO	4. HOUSING	No more "large scale housing developments	Agree: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing developments	Y	HO1 site allocations are small sites, HO2 supports smaller sites

REG1411	HO	4.HOUSING	Take account of views of town's residents from respondents to the planning application process (60 objections) not supportive of development of depot site	Not agree: The Neighbourhood Plan consultation and that of the planning application at the depot are two different processes. The Neighbourhood Plan reflects the views of the community provided through the Neighbourhood Plan consultation process. Please also see the call for sites section (theme 4) within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site as a community asset.	Y	
REG1420	HO	4.HOUSING	Concerned re:over-development - infrastructure unable to cope	Noted: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing developments. Please see our objectives in theme 5	Y	
REG1424	HO	HO	North Tawton is not suitable to have more houses	Not Agree: The Neighbourhood Plan is not a tool to stop development, but rather create a plan for the future of North Tawton which will involve some level of sustainable development which must meet the needs of local residents	Y	
REG1427	HO	4.HOUSING	Call for sites process - Object for variety of reasons to both sites: conservation area etc.	Assessment of each site listed within the Call for Sites process has been carried out using an objective assessment matrix.		Assessment matrix added as an appendix to the plan

REG1428	HO	4.HOUSING	Call for sites process - Object for variety of reasons to both sites: conservation area etc.	see above		
REG1430	HO	4. HOUSING	Supports statement about pressure on infrastructure on page 46.	Noted	Y	
REG1432	HO	4.HOUSING	Acknowledge Neighbourhood Plan's support of Trusts desire to purchase depot site in Devonshire Gardens and its intention to designate 500sqm of site as local green space	Noted	N	
REG1432	HO	4.HOUSING	Oppose development of depot site for housing on the grounds that this would contravene the result of the consultation on the call for sites (75% of respondents did not want to see the site developed)	Assessment of each site listed within the Call for Sites process has been carried out using an objective assessment matrix.	N	Assessment matrix added as an appendix to the plan
REG1432	HO	4.HOUSING	The reference to the Environmental Trust on page 48 should be amended to read " concerns were also raised about their ability to fund the purchase of this site should it become available with planning permission for housing; if the site is to remain in the plan "	added to this section of the Housing theme	N	This section has been changed - no reference to this sentence now

REG1432	HO	4.HOUSING	We question the designation of this site as a brownfield site. WDBC planners have indicated to the trust that they no longer consider it as such and the principal landscapes officer has recognised it as an important site for flora and fauna	noted	N	
REG1434	HO	HO IN4	No largescale housing developments in the near future as the town centre traffic has reached saturation point.	Agreed: see HO1, Vision, Housing Objectives, IN4	Y	HO1 site allocations are small sites, HO2 supports smaller sites
REG1442	HO	4. HOUSING (o	Without local employment it is difficult to keep shops and services open as is now happening	Noted: Employment Land at Bathway is currently on the open market. Neighbourhood Plan seeks to encourage new employment opportunities through Economy policies and retain the retail opportunity through CO1	Y	
REG1443	HO	HO	Include low cost flats to rent or buy	Noted: The plan seeks to represent the requirement for different types of housing and tenure as indicated in HO1 & HO2	Y	HO1 and HO2 are now policies HO2 and HO3.

REG1453	HO	Housing	Council would like to see allocated sites, following the call for sites and with development specified and delivery aims	Meeting was arranged with WD Strategic planners to discuss this further. Assessment of the sites included within the original Call for Sites took place using the JLP Assessment grid. Sites will now be allocated in the Plan based on the outcome of this assessment process. This forms policy HO1 Site allocation for Housing		Assessment matrix used for each site in Call for sites process - see Appendix HO7. Policy HO1 allocates sites.
REG1453	HO	Housing	Questions whether Devonshire Gardens should be described as "Brown Field"	"A brownfield land is an area of land or premises that has been previously used, but has subsequently become vacant, derelict or contaminated. The term derived from its opposite, undeveloped or 'greenfield land'"		Continue to refer to this site as 'brownfield'
REG1453	HO	Housing		We should include the Mill as an allocated site again as housing, mixed use and B1 business.		This has been included as an allocated site in HO1
REG1436	HO	4. HOUSING	Offer of land for development	Noted: the "Call for Sites" process is currently closed, this will be reviewed in line with the West Devon 5 Year Land Supply. This land is outside of the settlement boundary. If developed it would be viewed as a 'windfall' site	Y	

REG1402	HO1	HO	No more housing estates, regrets purchasing a poor quality home built by Wain Homes	Noted: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing developments	Y	Ho1 changed to policy HO2
REG1404	HO1	4. HOUSING	Proposal to add parking spaces to existing properties should be supported if in character of property	The Neighbourhood Plan's remit is to determine new developments and cannot influence existing properties or their parking provision.	Y	
REG1405	HO1	4. HOUSING	Regarding planned 100 new houses, we feel the builder should bring existing new builds up to standard before building more	Noted		
REG1410	HO1	4. HOUSING	No big building plots of 100 houses	Agree: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing developments	Y	HO1 site allocations are small sites, HO2 supports smaller sites
REG1413	HO1	HOUSING	Wish to consider adding a policy that makes clear to developers that new development should provide a net gain in biodiversity in the parish wherever possible. (para 109 of Neighbourhood PlanPF)	Agree & Noted for additional inclusion in HO1 in accordance with the NPPF which states that a new development should not have a detrimental effect on biodiversity or wildlife, to strengthen this policy	Y	Added to HO1 which is now HO2 in the ammended plan
REG1425	HO1	4. HOUSING	North Tawton can not support any more housing	Not Agree: The Neighbourhood Plan is not a tool to stop development, but rather create a plan for the future of North Tawton which will involve some level of sustainable development which must meet the needs of local residents		see above

REG1427	HO1	4.HOUSING	Wish not to support development (Housing) on the Environmental Trust Site at Devonshire Gardens	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan consultation and that of the planning application at the depot are two different processes. The Neighbourhood Plan reflects the views of the community provided through the Neighbourhood Plan consultation process. Please also see the call for sites section (theme 4) within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site as a community asset.		
REG1427	HO1	4.HOUSING	Express disappointment at the lack of reference to the MCTI or KPMG strategy document	Noted: However both documents/initiatives are not current		
REG1428	HO1	4.HOUSING	Wish not to support development (Housing) on the Environmental Trust Site at Devonshire Gardens	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan consultation and that of the planning application at the depot are two different processes. The Neighbourhood Plan reflects the views of the community provided through the Neighbourhood Plan consultation process. Please also see the call for sites section (theme 4) within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site as a community asset.		

REG1428	HO1	4.HOUSING	Express disappointment at the lack of reference to the MCTI or KPMG strategy document	Noted: However both documents/initiatives are not current		
REG1431	HO1	4. HOUSING	Smaller developments around town are preferable to expanding the town in one direction only. One directional expansion tends to create a dormitory town	Noted - HO1 favours smaller developments; settlement boundary maps 1.6a&b ; Vision and Objective 8 seeks to prevent development of a dormitory town.	Y	HO1 site allocations are small sites, HO2 supports smaller sites
REG1444	HO1	HO1	Not all sites will lend themselves to density of 30 dwellings per hectare especially where consideration of historic character and heritage assets applies - important to have flexibility on a site by site basis. Safeguards of enhancement of the historic environment in the Plan can adequately ensure that no significant environmental effects (harm) need occur.	Noted: This average density guide was proposed to the Neighbourhood Plan by WDBC		
REG1451	HO1	HO1 (vi)	Depot development doesn't offer this	Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments; all new developments would need to address these requirements		

REG1452	HO1	HO1	<p>Policy arbitrary and without justification or evidential basis. Larger developments can attract greater CIL/106 contributions. Limited affordable housing for small developments. First 6 points of response assumes that policy only relates to 12 or less dwellings. Questions justifications for points addressed in policy.</p>	<p>Disagree: Policy HO1 is not specifying developments of fewer than 12. The Neighbourhood Plan instead details points where larger developments will be supported where they are in accordance with the requirements set out within the policy. Following advice from WDBC we are changing this policy to encourage development in smaller sites of 0.5 hectares and with a density of less than 30 dwellings per hectare. Supporting evidence for the historic size of developments within the town has been carried out and will be added as an evidence base within our appendices</p>	<p>Policy HO1 has been changed to reflect this (now policy HO2 in ammended plan)</p>
REG1453	HO1	HO1 iii	<p>Can not require rainwater harvesting as expensive to install and will deter developers</p>	<p>Agree: drop iii to Developers are encouraged to:</p>	<p>Wording changed</p>
REG1453	HO1			<p>Current HO1 vii & viii - Developers are encouraged to: should come before other points I</p>	<p>Wording changed</p>
REG1453	HO1	HO1 vii	<p>This should be site sizes rather than plot sizes</p>	<p>Agree - on first use of "plot" to "site"</p>	<p>Wording changed</p>
REG1453	HO1	HO1 vii	<p>12 seems arbitrary and suggest using 30 dwellings per hectare.</p>	<p>utilise small sites of 0.5 of a hectare</p>	<p>Wording changed</p>

REG1453	HO1	HO1 vii		Separate point to be in-keeping with the traditional character and plot sizes associated with North Tawton		Wording changed
REG1453	HO1			iv * please see our detailed policy referring to parking in HO7 which must be adhered to		
REG1453	HO1			vii * please see our detailed policy referring to parking in HO5 which must be adhered to		
REG1411	HO1, HO2,	4. HOUSING	Traffic in North Street "not safe" and no new developments should be made at the depot site due to poor cycle or pedestrian access to the town centre	Please see policy IN4. Requirements for traffic and transport plans will be essential in order for planning permission to be granted and are linked to specific developments.	Y	
REG1403	HO2	HO2	Neighbourhood Plan needs only to be in general conformity to JLP in relation to 30% affordable for 11 + dwellings, can set its own policies reflecting local need. Reword HO2 to offer more flexibility e.g. including assisted living within affordable criteria.	Not agree: Please see HO2 which offers sufficient flexibility to meet local needs and HO6 for our support of residential supported care and sheltered homes	Y	HO2 is now policy HO3 and HO6 has become HO5

REG1411	HO2	4.HOUSING	In accordance with the JLP North Tawton has met it's affordable housing development requirements with current developments and should not be subject to further affordable housing.	Agree: But a new housing need survey is due within the next 2 years. The Neighbourhood Plan is not a tool to stop development, but rather create a plan for the future of North Tawton which will involve some level of sustainable development which must meet the needs of local residents.	Y	
REG1453	HO2	HO2,3,4		Combine these policies together		New Affordable Housing poicy HO3, combining these 3 policies
REG1407	HO3	4.HOUSING	Need to stop 600 houses proposed which would be influenced by the quick adoption of the Neighbourhood Plan	Noted	Y	HO1 site allocation doesn't include Batheway later phases.
REG1442	HO3	4. HOUSING	Reasonable time is vague needs a specific time e.g. 3 months to be stipulated	Not Agree: We are guided in this policy by WDBC policy	Y	
REG1452	HO5	HO5	no justification for minimum private garden size	Disagree: An analysis of garden sizes for the newer developments within the town were carried out and this evidence base has now been added as an appendix. We have made some changes to this policy to make it less prescriptive, but rear amenity space was noted as important in the public consultation and so this policy will be retained		changes made to wording of policy

REG1453	HO5	HO5	Aim of policy appreciated but requirements too prescriptive. Suggested external space is provided at a certain proportion of the national space standards for internal floor space - expressed as a preference rather than a requirement as acceptable sites could be prevented from being developed.	Noted, this policy was developed from residents' preferences about garden size. After therefore - wherever possible; remove preferably rectangular; remove 'not overlooked by a window...' from ii.		Changes made to the wording of the policy
REG1403	HO6	HO6	Supports HO6 - development proposed includes assisted living units	Noted	Y	
REG1453	HO6	HO6	Supported although may need to be more explicit on detail, on whether this is open market or affordable	Agree: Add "local level of need" and offer a mixture of tenure opportunities		Changed wording to HO6 which is now HO5 in ammended plan
REG1411	HO7	4.HOUSING	Traffic in North Street "not safe" and no new developments should be made at the depot site as it will increase traffic	Please see policy IN4. Requirements for traffic and transport plans will be essential in order for planning permission to be granted and are linked to specific developments.		
REG1442	HO7	4. HOUSING	Transport impact assessment survey should be carried out at peak times which should be specified e.g. 'school run time'	Noted: Concerns noted and the Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group. Add " A comprehensive and well designed transport impact assessment" to HO7	Y	Wording added to HO7 which is now HO6 in ammended plan

REG1449	HO7	Housing HO7	Support for charging points	Noted		
REG1451	HO7	Housing - Objective 7	support of Depot development when 60 objections breaches this objective	Not agree: The Neighbourhood Plan consultation and that of the planning application at the depot are two different processes. The Neighbourhood Plan reflects the views of the community provided through the Neighbourhood Plan consultation process. Please also see the call for sites section (theme 4) within housing where the Neighbourhood Plan supports the Environment Trust in it's right to bid for the depot site as a community asset.		
REG1451	HO7	HO7	parking concerns in North St	Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group.		
REG1453	HO7	HO7	Policy supported	Agree		
REG1418	HO8	HO8	No more large scale housing development. Supports small scale and self-build	Noted: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing developments	Y	HO1 site allocations are small sites, HO2 supports smaller sites

REG1433	HO8	4.HOUSING	Within North Tawton there should be a policy of small scale building companies or individuals	Not agreed : not within the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan		
REG1446	HO8	Housing HO8 & Economy E3	Combined facets of self build & live/work development can be given consideration with probable benefits to some parking & traffic volumes	Agree - Priority site is a self build site on Boucher's Hill see page 48 and there are already sites with outline planning permission for live/work units within the town		Bouchers Hill site has now got outline planning permission.
REG1453	HO8	HO8	Supported although could this be strengthened with an allocated site	Agree - Priority site is a self build site on Boucher's Hill see page 48		This site now has outline planning permission so is included as an agreed site
REG1446	I	Infrastructure	Parking, traffic, transport should form an integral feature in developments - shouldn't be separated. Any large scale development should be north/south axis - increase in traffic through Market St and North St should be resisted.	Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group. Noted: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing developments	?	
REG1449	I	Infrastructure	Improved signage for lorries	Concerns noted however Highway inworking group.		
REG1418	IN	IN	No direct bus to Okehampton	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan process cannot influence public transport provision	Y	Referred to NTTC Transport & Parking working Group
REG1433	IN	IN	Road surfaces are poor with potholes and blocked drains.	Noted: beyond the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan	?	

REG1443	IN	Parking (IN)	Increase parking at top of High St (use park?) Speed reduction through town 20 mph . Clearer HGV signage/or new road avoiding town and bridge.	Noted: Concerns noted and the Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group.	Y	
REG1448	IN	IN	Bus service - not convenient to Bow for Drs drop in & not direct to Okehampton (hospital/shopping)	Noted: Neighbourhood Plan process cannot influence public transport provision		
REG1448	IN	IN	suggestions for car parks - Steve Blakeman's land North St; Bob Barratt's land North St; extending town car park; extend High St car park.	Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group. Noted: Please see HO1 which supports small scale housing developments		HO1 site allocations are small sites, HO2 supports smaller sites
REG1401	IN1	IN1	Having to go to Bow to see Dr	Not agree: NT surgery is open for six sessions per week. The Neighbourhood Plan supports improved medical facilities within the town Ref:IN1	Y	
REG1402	IN1	IN	Dentist not taking on new patients. More new houses will exacerbate problems like this, NT does not have the infrastructure to support more houses	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan supports improved medical facilities within the town Ref:IN1	Y	

REG1407	IN1	5.INFRASTRUC	Essential to keep and improve medical facilities in North Tawton (ref very elderly grandparents)	Noted: The Neighbourhood Plan supports improved medical facilities within the town Ref:IN1	Y	
REG1433	IN1	IN1	Medical service has deteriorated with residents having to travel to Bow for treatment.	Not agreed: There is a medical service in North Tawton see IN1 & CO1	?	
REG1446	IN1	Infrastructure	With regards to health services the only development desirable would be something to improve facilities at Devonshire House.	Not agree: The Neighbourhood Plan supports improved medical facilities within the town Ref:IN1		
REG1453	IN1	IN1	Supported	Agree		
REG1452	IN2	IN2	Repeat of local plan policies therefore policy should be deleted.	Disagree: This is an important issue for residents and we wish to evidence this within our plan		
REG1453	IN2	IN2	Not necessary as part of the development process	Noted- This is an important issue for residents and we wish to evidence this within our plan		
REG1453	IN3	IN3	This policy repeats HO7 - Section 106 can not be used unless there is a clear impact resulting from the development	Noted- Car parking is the major issue for residents of North Tawton, any new development would impact on the car parking provision within the town and so section 106 monies must be used for this provision.		Changes made to policy IN3

REG1401	IN4	IN4, IN3	<p>Congestion on road from parked cars. Traffic speeds excess of 20mph at school times in Exeter Street. Effect of increased traffic on air quality.</p>	<p>Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group.</p>	Y	
REG1412	IN4	IN4	<p>Sort out speed limit between de Bathe Cross and new development. No further development at Batheway, current road access on site not wide enough.</p>	<p>Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath, junction and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process.</p>	Y	
REG1419	IN4	IN4	<p>Well Done! Speed limit in town needs consideration especially from De Bathe to Roundabout.</p>	<p>Noted: Please see E7 which promotes safe footpath and cycle access to new developments. NT TC are currently pursuing the issue of safety on this particular footpath, junction and speed limit with Devon Highways. Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process.</p>	Y	

REG1438	IN4	5. INFRASTRUC	Evening parking in the town is a problem. Maybe use doctors parking area or school area for weekends, evenings and school holidays	Noted: However Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group	Y	
REG1451	IN4	IN4	Impact of Depot development on Square and North St, and parking in the town.	Concerns noted however Highway infrastructure cannot be influenced through the Neighbourhood Plan process. However The Neighbourhood Plan acknowledges traffic and congestion issues in NT and will refer comments to the Traffic & Transport working group.		
REG1453	IN4	IN4		Noted- Traffic congestion is a major issue for residents of North Tawton, and we feel it is important to retain this policy to be reflective of the views of the community		
REG1427	Intro		Does not include reference to "Wool & Serge Trade" in North Tawton	Add a sentence in the introduction on p 9		Sentence added in the introduction
REG1428	Intro		Does not include reference to "Wool & Serge Trade" in North Tawton	add a sentence in the introduction on p 9		Sentence added in the introduction

REG1403	Map	Map 1.6	Strongly supports amended settlement boundary - which should include previously developed land and brownfield land on edge of previous settlement boundaries. (Neighbourhood PlanPF para 47)	Noted	Y	
REG1422	MAP 6 1.6	Settlement Bo	Boundary at Bouchers Hill correctly shown on WDBC JLP	Agreed: Final map to be accurate	Y	Final map has been ammended
REG1444	SEA	SEA	No objection to the view that an SEA will not be required	Noted		
REG1453				noted point about innovation		